

Diagnosis and treatment of common bile duct stones (CBDS)

Results of a consensus development conference

Conference organizers: A. Paul,¹ B. Millat,² U. Holthausen,¹ S. Sauerland,³ E. Neugebauer³
for the Scientific Committee of the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery (E.A.E.S.)

Expert panel: J. C. Berthou, Clinique chirurgicale mutualiste, Lorient (France); H.-J. Brambs, Abteilung für Radiologie, University of Ulm (Germany); J. E. Dominguez-Muñoz, Zentrum für Medizin, Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg (Germany); P. Goh, Department of Surgery, National University Hospital, Singapore (Singapore); L. E. Hammarström, Department of Surgery, Malärsjukhuset, Eskilstuna (Sweden); E. Lezoche, Cattedra di Chirurgia Generale I, Università di Ancona (Italy); J. Périssat, Service de Chirurgie Digestive, Hopiteaux de Bordeaux (France); P. Rossi, Istituto di Radiologia, Università degli Studi di Roma (Italy); M. A. Röthlin, Klinik für Viszeral-chirurgie, Universitätsspital Zürich (Switzerland); R. C. G. Russell, Department of Surgery, Middlesex Hospital, London (England); P. Spinelli, Istituto Nazionale per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori, Milano (Italy); Y. Tekant, Hepatopancreatobiliary Surgery Unit, University of Istanbul (Turkey)

¹ Surgical Clinic Merheim, II Department of Surgery, University of Cologne, Ostmerheimer Str. 200, 51109 Cologne, Germany

² Service de Chirurgie Viszérale A, Hôpital Saint Eloi, 2, avenue Bertin Sans, 34295 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

³ Biochemical and Experimental Division, II Department of Surgery, University of Cologne, Ostmerheimer Str. 200, 51109 Cologne, Germany

Received: 20 November 1997/Accepted: 3 December 1997

Abstract

Background: Common bile duct stones (CBDS) are a frequent problem (10–15%) in patients with symptomatic cholecystolithiasis. Over the last decade, new diagnostic and surgical techniques have expanded the options for their management. This report of the Consensus Development Conference is intended to summarize the current state of the art, including principal guidelines and an extensive review of the literature.

Methods: An international panel of 12 experts met under the auspices of the European Association of Endoscopic Surgery (EAES) to investigate the diagnostic and therapeutic alternatives for gallstone disease. Prior to the conference, all the experts were asked to submit their arguments in the form of published results. All papers received were weighted according to their scientific quality and relevance. The pre-consensus document compiled out of this correspondence was altered following a discussion of the external evidence made available by the panel members and presented at the public conference session. The personal experiences of the participants and other aspects of individualized therapy were also considered.

Results: Our panel of experts agreed that the presence of

common bile duct stones should be investigated in all patients with symptomatic cholecystolithiasis. Based on pre-operative noninvasive diagnostics, either endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) or intraoperative cholangiography should be employed for detecting CBDS. Eight of the 12 panelists recommended treating any diagnosed CBDS. For patients with no other extenuating circumstances, several treatment options exist. Stones can be extracted during ERCP, or either before or (in exceptional cases) after laparoscopic or open surgery. Bile duct clearance should always be combined with cholecystectomy. Evidence for further special aspects of CBDS treatment is equivocal and drawn from nonrandomized trials only.

Conclusions: The management of common bile duct stones is currently undergoing some major changes. Many diagnostic and therapeutic strategies need further study.

Key words: Common bile duct stones — Gallbladder — Bile duct calculi — Laparoscopic cholecystectomy — Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography

for removing symptomatic gallbladder stones. New techniques have also been developed for the removal of common bile duct stones (CBDS), which accompany symptomatic gallbladder stones in 10–15% of patients.

A number of different strategies have emerged that combine laparoscopic cholecystectomy with bile duct clearance. There has been a proliferation of publications in this search for a superior or ideal technique. The European Association for Endoscopic Surgery (EAES) recognizes the need to discuss and summarize these controversial developments and to provide practical guidelines based on the current state of knowledge. Bearing in mind the experience of previous consensus development conferences, we decided to use the joint meeting of the EAES and the ELSA (Endoscopic and Laparoscopic Surgeons of Asia) to bring together an international panel of experts in Istanbul.

Methods

In 1996 the EAES decided to hold a consensus development conference (CDC) on CBDS. The Cologne group was authorized by the EAES to organize the CDC according to general guidelines. Twelve internationally known experts were nominated by the Scientific Committee of the EAES. The criteria for selection were clinical and scientific expertise and activity in the diagnosis and/or treatment of CBDS. In order to balance the interests of experts in the areas of surgery, internal medicine, and radiology, panelists from all three specialities were selected.

Prior to the conference, all panelists were asked to survey the literature, list all relevant articles, and estimate the strength of evidence for every article cited. Referring to these articles, the panelists were asked to address the major open questions concerning the management of CBDS. For the five most relevant therapeutic options, they were also asked to comment on the status of each therapy. In regard to the question of laparoscopic common bile duct revision versus endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with stone extraction, each panel member was instructed to indicate which technique is superior for several specific situations. All panelists received detailed information on how to answer each section, including a basic description of the CDC process, a scale for ranking the strength of the evidence of medical articles, and a description of levels of technology according to Mosteller [105] and Troidl [164].

In Cologne, all answers were analyzed and subsequently combined into a provisional preconsensus statement. This text was mailed to all panelists a month prior to the Istanbul meeting. The panel members were also informed about the identity of the other members, which had not been previously disclosed.

In Istanbul, all panel members convened for a first meeting on June 18, 1997. Here the provisional statement was scrutinized word by word. The following day, the modified statement was presented to the conference audience for public discussion. During a postconference meeting on the same day, all suggestions made by the audience were discussed by the panelists. Because not all of these questions could be resolved at this time, the chairmen were asked to provide additional literature that would address some of the critical issues. When these points had been cleared and altered in the text, the whole statement was mailed to all the panelists for agreement (Delphi process). In October 1997, the following statement was finalized.

Consensus statement on the diagnosis and treatment of common bile duct stones

General comment

Options for the management of common bile duct stones (CBDS) are increasing with the development of new technologies for diagnosis and treatment. While intraoperative cholangiography and open CBD exploration have comprised the applied technology for decades, the introduction

of ERCP with endoscopic stone extraction in the 1970s and the more recent introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy led to a reappraisal of the situation. For each management policy, numerous publications—from case reports to prospective controlled clinical trials—are available, but evidence-based conclusions are rarely achieved yet.

In terms of predictors for CBDS, the crucial issue is perhaps not which indicators should best be applied to detect CBDS, but whether we should favor a high rate of negative examinations or a high rate of retained stones, with all their sequelae. The consequences of either strategy are currently not well understood and are often dependent on the local medical and nonmedical conditions.

Nowadays, new imaging techniques in medicine (e.g., magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography [MRCP]) have opened up new options for the diagnosis of CBDS. Furthermore, any debate about procedure and timing of diagnosis of CBDS leads to this question: Should they all be diagnosed?

Any discussion of an optimal therapy for common bile duct stones must take into account the rare but grave complications that each treatment option, may entail.

In general, the optimal diagnostic and therapeutic strategy seems to be dependent on local circumstances and the experience and expertise of the medical team, since there is still no evidence-based gold standard. In addition, ethical and socioeconomic considerations have an important impact on the controversy. For example, the costs of several techniques are prohibitive in some parts of the world.

Question 1. The diagnosis of CBDS

What are good indicators or predictive symptoms/signs for CBDS?

At the time of cholecystectomy for symptomatic cholelithiasis, 8–15% of patients under the age of 60 years and 15–60% of patients over the age of 60 years have CBDS. This prevalence reflects the prior probability of any patient harboring CBDS before any discriminating test. The prevalence of CBDS has a decisive influence on the predictive value of any indicator. The prevalence of CBDS and the threshold for investigating CBDS vary among individual clinicians.

Among the many parameters investigated, no single indicator is completely accurate in predicting CBDS before cholecystectomy. The indicators can be grouped as follows: symptoms and signs, biochemical parameters, and imaging techniques. Although acute pancreatitis or cholecystitis are associated with a higher prevalence of CBDS, there is no good evidence that a history of pancreatitis is an indicator for CBDS.

Table 1 lists the predictive values for the main indicators of CBDS. These data were combined from several primary studies with a meta-analysis [1]. For each individual indicator, the lowest abnormal value is considered to be the threshold. Within a hypothetical population with symptomatic cholelithiasis, a 10% probability (prevalence) of harboring CBDS is assumed. As shown in the example in the table footnote, an individual patient's risk factors can be established by multiplying the relevant positive or negative likelihood ratios.

Table 1. Predictive values of preoperative indicators of CBDS^a

Indicator	Sensitivity (95%- CI)	Specificity (95%- CI)	LR ⁺ ^b	LR ⁻ ^c
Cholangitis	0.11 (0.02–0.19)	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	18.3	0.93
Preop jaundice	0.36 (0.26–0.45)	0.97 (0.95–0.99)	10.1	0.69
Cholecystitis	0.50 (0.11–0.89)	0.76 (0.45–1.00)	1.6	0.94
Bilirubine ↑	0.69 (0.48–0.90)	0.88 (0.84–0.92)	4.8	0.54
Alkaline Phosph ↑	0.57 (0.46–0.69)	0.86 (0.78–0.94)	2.6	0.65
Amylase ↑	0.11 (0.02–0.20)	0.95 (0.93–0.98)	1.5	0.99
CBDS on US	0.38 (0.27–0.49)	1.00 (0.99–1.00)	13.6	0.70
Dilated CBD on US	0.42 (0.28–0.56)	0.96 (0.94–0.98)	6.9	0.77

Data can be read as follows (line 1, cholangitis):

From 2% to 19% of patients *with* CBDS have cholangitis (defined as the triad pain-fever-jaundice). Nearly all patients who do *not* have CBDS also do *not* have cholangitis (column 2). A patient with CBDS is 18.3 times more likely to have cholangitis. If we assume prior odds to be 1 to 9 (i.e., 10% prevalence), we multiply $1/9 \times 18.3$ to get 2.03. So the posttest odds are about 2 to 1, which is a 66% probability. However, on the other hand, in a patient without CBDS (column 5), cholangitis is still not unlikely. We receive 1/9.67 posterior odds, or a 9.4% probability.

^a Data from Abboud et al. [1], reprinted with permission

^b LR⁺ = positive Likelihood Ratio

^c LR⁻ = negative Likelihood Ratio

A cystic duct found to have a diameter >4–5 mm at operation was associated with an increased probability of CBDS (sensitivity, 0.34; PPV, 0.52) in a population of 319 patients with a CBDS prevalence of 12% [59, 61].

In the clinical setting, several groups of patients can be identified, as follows: (a) a *high-risk* group, which fulfills a series of predictive factors resulting in a global probability of CBDS >90% based on the data in Table 1; (b) a *medium-risk* group, or group of uncertainty, which fulfills one or several prognostic factors listed in Table 1 but for whom the resulting posttest probability (although higher than the pretest probability of 10%) does not reach 90%; (c) a *low-risk* group, which has no signs or symptoms. Although their probability of harboring CBDS is below average, in clinical practice unsuspected CBDS are found in ≤5% of patients with symptomatic gallbladder stones.

Question 2. Diagnostic procedures

Which diagnostic tools are useful in the detection of CBDS?

In what order should they be applied?

Preoperative ultrasonography (US) misses two of three patients with common bile duct stones. However, it is a useful screening tool for the diagnosis of CBDS because of its noninvasiveness, easy availability, and low costs. Of all tools it should be applied as first. It has a reasonable predictive value if the CBD diameter is dilated as an indirect sign for CBDS. According to the literature, the sensitivity of preoperative US is 0.14–0.40, depending on the investigator's experience, the defined threshold value, and the general prevalence. The diagnosis of CBDS is more frequently achieved exclusively in patients with dilated CBD (diameter >8–10 mm). Furthermore, liver or pancreas pathologies are also detectable by this means.

Preoperative intravenous cholangiography (PIC) does

not play a major role in the diagnosis of CBDS anymore. PIC has been reevaluated in patients without jaundice, using a new contrast reagent (meglumine iotroxate) with a reported risk of <1% adverse reactions. Infusion yields a satisfactory bile duct opacification in 90–95% of patients. The negative predictive value (NPV) of a normal PIC is 0.98–1. The positive predictive value (PPV) of PIC for CBDS diagnosis was 0.94 for stones demonstrated at PIC but only 0.31 for stones suspected at PIC [16, 57]. Previous studies showed that PIC missed CBDS in an average of 40% of cases (range, 22–90% sensitivity). Therefore, it is not recommended as a routine procedure. It may be an option based on the local circumstances of a center.

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is a valid diagnostic tool (high sensitivity, specificity, accuracy in experienced hands). It should only be applied with the intention to treat in patients with a high probability of CBDS who are eligible for ES. It has to be recognized that the procedure is invasive and inconvenient for the patient. It requires sedation and has defined morbidity (5–10%) and mortality (<1% for diagnostic purpose) rates. The success rate for ERCP is 95%. The sensitivity is 0.84–0.89. Specificity is 0.97–1. PPV is 1 and NPV is 0.88.

Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) is another exclusively diagnostic procedure with a high accuracy rate, but currently there is no indication for its routine use in diagnosing CBDS. The sensitivity of endoscopic ultrasound is 93%; specificity is 97%. PPV is 98% and NPV is 88%.

Intraoperative cholangiography (IOC) and *laparoscopic ultrasound* are reliable diagnostic tools (>90% accuracy). Modern equipment and the use of fluoroscopy is required and may increase the accuracy in general practice. However, routine performance for the detection of symptomatic CBDS is questionable, although some of our panelists did recommend it. No final consensus was achieved regarding this point. The decision to perform routine or selective IOC during cholecystectomy depends both on the physician's personal beliefs regarding asymptomatic CBDS and his or her individual strategy for treatment. Reasons other than detection of CBDS for performing IOC, such as clarification of biliary anatomy, were considered outside the scope of the consensus. Invasive preoperative diagnostic tests should be avoided in patients scheduled for elective cholecystectomy.

Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) seems to be an excellent diagnostic tool with high accuracy rates, so it might supersede other invasive diagnostic procedures such as ERCP. Disadvantages include inconvenience for the patient, low availability, and high costs. Furthermore, it is not applicable in every case (morbid obesity, pacemaker, etc.). In a first study from Italy [89], MRCP showed 91.6% sensitivity, 100% specificity, and an overall diagnostic accuracy of 96.8%.

Computer tomography (CT) has been evaluated only in biased populations. It plays no role in routine management.

All patients with symptomatic gallbladder stones need to be assessed for CBDS, and the treatment of all diagnosed CBDS is mandatory (eight of 12 panelists were in favor of it). There are three options:

- Routine IOC requires no preoperative screening for CBDS. The rate of useless examinations is in correspon-

Table 2. Results of six prospective randomized trials comparing preoperative ERC/ES with open surgery alone for CBDS

	Surgery	Preop ERC/ES
Total number of patients	302	283
Endoscopic failures		15 (5%)
Successful primary extraction	275 (91%)	233 (82%)
Complications (range)		
Major	8% (4–15%)	8% (4–10)
Minor	15% (8–15%)	10% (6–17)
Total	23% (18–31%)	19% (12–26)
Deaths	4 (1.3%)	8 (2.8%)
Residual stones (range)	4.9% (2–12)	3.4% (0–12)

^a See Neoptolemos et al. [107], Stain et al. [151], Stiegmann et al. [154], Hammarström et al. [56], Targarona et al. [160], and Association universitaire de recherche en chirurgie [6]

dence with the prevalence of CBDS in the population scheduled for cholecystectomy.

- Selective contraindication for IOC is based on the negative predictive value of indicators for CBDS. It allows a 30–50% reduction in the number of IOC and yields a 2–3% rate of missed CBDS [61, 70].
- Selective indication for IOC is based on the positive predictive value of preoperative indicators for CBDS. It limits diagnosis and treatment to preoperatively symptomatic CBDS. Limitations are related to the information provided by the predictors and uncertainty regarding the natural history of asymptomatic CBDS.

Question 3. Timing of diagnostics

When should CBDS be diagnosed?

The timing of diagnostics should be dependent on the status of the patient and the preferred treatment modality of the center—pre- or intraoperatively. A routine policy of postoperative diagnoses of patients with preoperative suspicion for CBDS is not advisable, since it entails the risk of a second operative intervention.

Question 4. Timing of treatment

Should CBDS be treated before, during, or after cholecystectomy?

Depending on the clinical status of the patient, treatment can be performed before or during surgery. The policy of the specific center, as well as the experience and expertise of the medical team, may affect the choice of treatment modalities yet yield similar results (Table 2). Postoperative treatment of CBDS is only necessary if intraoperative clearance of the common bile duct fails or if patients develop symptoms of retained stones.

Question 5. Standard treatment

Which is the best treatment for CBDS and what is the appropriate surgical procedure for CBDS with gallbladder in situ?

There is no standard treatment today. In principle, three

treatment regimens are available: endoscopic stone extraction during ERCP, laparoscopic bile duct exploration, and open bile duct exploration (Table 3). There is no strong evidence from controlled trials that one procedure is superior to another in experienced hands (Table 4). The majority of panel members saw no advantages to laparoscopic surgery over ERCP in terms of intraoperative safety, postoperative complications, mortality, pain, hospital stay, return to work, or cosmesis.

Laparoscopic bile duct exploration or a combination of endoscopic stone removal and laparoscopic cholecystectomy might be better than open surgery in terms of such aspects as less pain and faster recovery.

The laparoscopic transcystic approach and laparoscopic choledochotomy are feasible. For ASA I/II patients, they might be preferable to preoperative ERCP and endoscopic sphincterotomy (ES) followed by laparoscopic cholecystectomy, since they shorten the duration of hospital stay.

Question 6. Treatment in special situations

Should asymptomatic CBDS be treated?

Because of the unpredictability of the occurrence of symptoms or complications, diagnosed stones should be treated in all cases. It is additionally an ethical problem to knowingly leave stones behind. However, an expectant management for CBDS is acceptable in high-risk patients (ASA III/IV) and patients unfit for surgery. These patients may benefit from endoscopic treatment alone.

What is the appropriate treatment for large and/or impacted CBDS?

Large and/or impacted stones are a rare and ill-defined condition. Their treatment is usually difficult and depends on individual expertise. Options include:

- Endoscopic treatment (with the adjunct of lithotripsy)
- Primary surgery (laparoscopic or open approach with the adjunct of intraoperative lithotripsy and/or hepaticojejunostomy)
- Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL) with or without ES

How should CBDS in cholecystectomized patients be managed?

All such patients should be first treated by endoscopy, if feasible, including lithotripsy as required. There is as yet no evidence that endoscopic sphincterotomy or dilation of the sphincter performed in younger patients has a long-term negative outcome with higher rates of cholangitis, papillary stenosis, or other sequelae.

Question 7. Cholecystectomy

Is cholecystectomy always compulsory in patients with CBDS?

Available data suggest that cholecystectomy should be recommended in patients with CBDS. In patients with major risk factors for surgery or in elderly patients, an individual management policy—e.g., leaving the gallbladder in situ—

Table 3. Evaluation of the status of CBDS therapy in 1997: strength of evidence^a

Stages in technology assessment ^b	ERCP	Open surgery	Laparoscopic surgery	ESWL	Transhepatic approach
Feasibility	III	III	III	III	0–I
Benefit for patient	III	III	III	III	0
Benefit for surgeon	III	III	I–III	0–III	0
Effectiveness	II	III	II	0–I	0–I
Costs	III	0–I	0–II	0–I	0
Ethics	III	III	III	I–III	0
Recommendations	yes	yes	yes	no	no

^a Grading of scientific evidence was done using the scale explained in Table 4 (III = strong evidence, 0 = no evidence)

^b See Mosteller [105] and Troidl [164]

Table 4. Ratings of the literature on CBDS: strength of evidence

Study design	Strength of evidence	References
Clinical randomized controlled trial with power and relevant endpoints	III	5, 6, 14, 24, 28, 35, 37, 44, 49, 52, 56, 60, 61, 77, 79, 81, 83, 86, 91, 103, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 112, 113, 118, 127, 134, 135, 141, 143, 146, 149, 150, 151, 152, 154, 157, 159, 160, 168
Prospective studies with parallel or historical controls	II	2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 59, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 79, 80, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 104, 114, 115, 116, 117, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 136, 137, 139, 140, 142, 143, 144, 145, 147, 148, 153, 155, 156, 158, 161, 162, 163, 165, 166, 167, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175
Case-control studies		
Cohort studies with literature controls	I	numerous, not evaluated
Database analyses		
Reports of expert committees		
Uncontrolled trials	0	numerous, not evaluated
Case reports, case series		
Belief		

can be justified. In Oriental cholangitis and in patients without gallbladder stones, cholecystectomy is usually not indicated after clearance of the common bile duct.

Question 8. Consequences of therapy

What are the long-term results and sequelae of therapeutic interventions?

For both endoscopic sphincterotomy and open surgical common bile duct exploration, the long-term complication rates are reported to be in the same range (<10%), and the procedures have a high success rate in experienced hands. There are no data on the long-term complication rate of laparoscopic bile duct exploration.

Closing remarks

The closing remarks were delivered by J. Périsat, of France:

- The emerging success of MR cholangiopancreatogra-

phy, which has provided an excellent roadmap for the surgeon, should help to stem the debate over the diagnostic purpose of ERCP.

- The general population of surgeons should be brought up to date about the technology of laparoscopic bile duct exploration; furthermore, additional research is urgently needed.
- There should be a follow-up on the results of this conference in the year 2000.

Acknowledgments. The organizers would like to thank the panelists of the conference for their tremendous work and input in reaching these consensus statements. We appreciate very much the time and energy spent to make the conference possible. The organization of the conference was only possible with the generous support of Professor Topuzlu and Dr. Tekant (both Istanbul) and the excellent assistance of Mrs. Karin Nasskau (Cologne). Thanks also to the E.A.E.S. for their financial support and to Professor Topuzlu, the President of the 5th International Conference of the E.A.E.S., for enabling and supporting the conference.

References

Literature not mentioned in the statements but discussed during the conference is also cited in this list of references.

1. Abboud PAC, Malet PF, Berlin JA, Staroscik R, Cabana MD, Clarke JR, Shea JA, Schwartz JS, Williams SV (1996) Predictors of common bile duct stones prior to cholecystectomy: a meta-analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 44: 450–459
2. Adamek HE, Maier M, Jakobs R, Wessbecher FR, Neuhauser T, Riemann JF (1996) Management of retained bile duct stones: a prospective open trial comparing extracorporeal and intracorporeal lithotripsy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 44: 40–47
3. Adamek HE, Riemann JF (1996) Extrakorporale Stosswellenlithotripsie von Gallensteinen. Rückblick und Perspektive. *Zeitschr Gesamte Inn Med Grenzgebiete* 47: 285–290
4. Adloff M, Ollier JC, Arnaud JP (1980) Place de la suture primitive du choledoque dans la chirurgie de la lithiase biliaire. *Ann Chir* 34: 341–344
5. Alinder G, Nilsson U, Lunderquist A, Herlin P, Holmin T (1986) Pre-operative infusion cholangiography compared to routine operative cholangiography at elective cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg* 73: 383–387
6. Association universitaire de recherche en chirurgie: Lenriot JP, Le Néel JC, Hay JM, Jaeck D, Millat B, Fagniez PL (1993) Cholangiopancréatographie rétrograde et sphinctérotomie endoscopique pour lithiase biliaire. Evaluation prospective en milieu chirurgical. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 17: 244–250
7. Barkun AN, Barkun JS, Fried GM, Ghitulescu G, Steinmetz O, Pham C, Meakins JL, Goresky CA (1994) Useful predictors of bile duct stones in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. McGill Gallstone Treatment Group. *Ann Surg* 220: 32–39
8. Barreau JA, Castro D, Arregui ME, Tetik C (1995) A comparison of intraoperative ultrasound versus cholangiography in the evaluation of the common bile duct during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 9: 490–496
9. Berci G, Cuschieri A (1997) Bile ducts and bile duct stones. WB Saunders, Philadelphia
10. Berci G, Morgenstern L (1994) Laparoscopic management of common bile duct stones. A multi-institutional SAGES study. Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons. *Surg Endosc* 8: 1174–1175
11. Berci G, Sakier JM, Paz-Parlow M (1991) Routine or selected intraoperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy? *Am J Surg* 161: 355–360
12. Berci G, Shore JM, Hamlin JA, Morgenstern L (1978) Operative fluoroscopy and cholangiography—the use of modern radiologic technics during surgery. *Am J Surg* 135: 32–35
13. Berggren P, Farago I, Gabriëlsson N, Thor K (1997) Intravenous cholangiography before 1000 consecutive laparoscopic cholecystectomies. *Br J Surg* 84: 472–476
14. Bergman JJGHM, Rauws EAJ, Fockens P, van Berkel AM, Bossuyt PMM, Tijssen JGP, Tytgat GNJ, Huijbregtse K (1997) Randomised trial of endoscopic balloon dilatation versus endoscopic sphincterotomy for removal of bile duct stones. *Lancet* 349: 1124–1129
15. Bland KI, Scott-Jones R, Maher JW, Cotton PB, Pennell TC, Ameron JR, Munson JL, Berci G, Fuchs GJ, Way LW, Graham JB, Lindenau BU, Moody FG (1989) Extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy of bile duct calculi—an interim report of the Dornier U.S. bile duct lithotripsy prospective study. *Ann Surg* 209: 743–755
16. Bloom IT, Gibbs SL, Keeling Roberts CS, Brough WA (1996) Intravenous infusion cholangiography for investigation of the bile duct: a direct comparison with endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Br J Surg* 83: 755–757
17. Boender J, Nix GA, de Ridder MA, van Blankenstein M, Schutte HE, Dees J, Wilson JH (1994) Endoscopic papillotomy for common bile duct stones: factors influencing the complication rate. *Endoscopy* 26: 209–216
18. Boey JH, Way LW (1980) Acute cholangitis. *Ann Surg* 191: 264–270
19. Borge J (1977) Operative cholangiography—new cholangiogram catheter clamp and improved technique. *Arch Surg* 112: 340–342
20. Brocks H (1959/60) Choledochoscopy versus cholangiography—experience of a 12-month trial. *Acta Chir Scand* 118: 434–438
21. Broome A, Jensen R, Thorne J (1976) A new cholangiography catheter. *Acta Chir Scand* 142: 421–422
22. Burhenne JH (1978) Nonoperative instrument extraction of retained bile duct stones. *World J Surg* 3: 439–445
23. Burhenne JH (1980) Percutaneous extraction of retained biliary tract stones—661 patients. *Am J Radiol* 134: 888–898
24. Canto M, Chak A, Sivak MV, Blades E, Stellato T (1995) Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) versus cholangiography for diagnosing extrahepatic biliary stones—a prospective, blinded study in pre- and post-cholecystectomy patients [Abstract]. *Gastrointest Endosc* 41: 391
25. Changchien C-S, Chuah S-K, Chiu K-W (1995) Is ERCP necessary for symptomatic gallbladder stone patients before laparoscopic cholecystectomy? *Am J Gastroenterol* 90: 2124–2127
26. Chen YK, Foliente RL, Santoro MJ, Walter MH, Collen MJ (1994) Endoscopic sphincterotomy-induced pancreatitis: increased risk associated with nondilated bile ducts and sphincter of Oddi dysfunction. *Am J Gastroenterol* 89: 327–333
27. Chijiwa K, Kozaki N, Naito T, Kameoka N, Tanaka M (1995) Treatment of choice for choledocholithiasis in patients with acute obstructive suppurative cholangitis and liver cirrhosis. *Am J Surg* 170: 356–360
28. Chopra KP, Peters RA, O'Toole PA, Williams SGJ, Gimson AES, Lombard MG, Westaby D (1996) Randomised study of endoscopic biliary endoprosthesis versus duct clearance for bile duct stones in high-risk patients. *Lancet* 348: 791–793
29. Clair DG, Carr Locke DL, Becker JM, Brooks DC (1993) Routine cholangiography is not warranted during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Arch Surg* 128: 554–555
30. Corlette MB, Schatzki S, Ackroyd F (1978) Operative cholangiography and overlooked stones. *Arch Surg* 113: 729–734
31. Cotton PB, Vallon AG (1982) Duodenoscopic sphincterotomy for removal of bile duct stones in patients with gallbladders. *Surgery* 91: 628–630
32. Cox MR, Wilson TG, Toouli J (1995) Peroperative endoscopic sphincterotomy during laparoscopic cholecystectomy for choledocholithiasis. *Br J Surg* 82: 257–259
33. Cronan JJ (1986) US diagnosis of choledocholithiasis: a reappraisal. *Radiology* 161: 133–134
34. Csendes A, Diaz JC, Burdiles P, Maluenda F, Morales E (1992) Risk factors and classification of acute suppurative cholangitis. *Br J Surg* 79: 655–658
35. Cuschieri A, Croce E, Faggioni A, Jakimowicz J, Lacy A, Lezoche E, Morino M, Ribeiro VM, Toouli J, Visa J, Wayand W (1996) EAES ductal stone study—preliminary findings of a multi-center prospective randomized trial comparing two-stage vs single-stage management. *Surg Endosc* 10: 1130–1135
36. Cuschieri A, Shimi S, Banting S, Nathanson LK, Pietrabissa A (1994) Intraoperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy—routine vs selective policy. *Surg Endosc* 8: 302–305
37. Daly J, Fitzgerald T, Simpson CJ (1987) Pre-operative intravenous cholangiography as an alternative to routine operative cholangiography in elective cholecystectomy. *Clin Radiol* 38: 161–163
38. Davidson BR, Neoptolemus JP, Carr-Locke DL (1988) Endoscopic sphincterotomy for common bile duct calculi in patients with gall bladder in situ considered unfit for surgery. *Gut* 29: 114–120
39. De Palma GD, Angrisani L, Lorenzo M, Di Matteo E, Catanzano C, Persico G, Tesaro B (1996) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), intraoperative endoscopic sphincterotomy (ES), and common bile duct stones (CBDS) extraction for management of patients with cholecystocholedocholithiasis. *Surg Endosc* 10: 649–652
40. de Watteville JC, Gailleton R, Gayral F, Testas P (1992) Role of routine preoperative intravenous cholangiography before laparoscopic cholecystectomy [Abstract]. *Br J Surg* 79: S10
41. DenBesten L, Berci G (1986) The current status of biliary tract surgery: an international study of 1072 consecutive patients. *World J Surg* 10: 116–122
42. Dowsett JF, Polydorou AA, Vaira D, D'Anna LM, Ashraf M, Croker J, Salmon PR, Russell RCG, Hatfield ARW (1990) Needle knife papillotomy: how safe and how effective? *Gut* 31: 905–908
43. Doyle PJ, Ward-McQuaid JN, McEwen-Smith A (1982) The value of routine preoperative cholangiography—a report of 4000 cholecystectomies. *Br J Surg* 69: 617–619
44. Duron JJ, Roux JM, Imbaud P, Dumont JL, Dutet D, Validire J (1987) Biliary lithiasis in the over seventy-five age group—a new therapeutic strategy. *Br J Surg* 74: 848–849
45. Ellul JPM, Wilkinson ML, McColl I, Dowling RH (1992) A predictive ERCP study of patients with gallbladder stones (GBS) and probable choledocholithiasis—predictive factors [Abstract]. *Gastrointest Endosc* 38: 266
46. Erickson RA, Carlson B (1995) The role of endoscopic retrograde

- cholangiopancreatography in patients with laparoscopic cholecystectomies. *Gastroenterology* 109: 252–263
47. Escarce JJ, Shea JA, Chen W, Qian Z, Schwartz JS (1995) Outcomes of open cholecystectomy in the elderly: a longitudinal analysis of 21,000 cases in the prelaparoscopic era. *Surgery* 117: 156–164
 48. Escourrou J, Cordova JA, Lazorthes F, Frexinos J, Ribet A (1994) Early and late complications after endoscopic sphincterotomy for biliary lithiasis with and without the gallbladder 'in situ.' *Gut* 25: 598–602
 49. Fan ST, Lai EC, Mok FP, Lo CM, Zheng SS, Wong J (1993) Early treatment of acute biliary pancreatitis by endoscopic papillotomy. *N Engl J Med* 328: 228–232
 50. Farha GJ, Pearson RN (1976) Transcystic duct operative cholangiography—personal experience with 500 consecutive cases. *Am J Surg* 131: 228–231
 51. Finnis D, Rowntree T (1977) Choledochoscopy in exploration of the common bile duct. *Br J Surg* 64: 661–664
 52. Fölsch UR, Nitsche R, Lüdtker R, Hilgers RA, Creutzfeldt W (1997) The German study group for acute biliary pancreatitis: early ERCP and papillotomy compared with conservative treatment for acute biliary pancreatitis. *N Engl J Med* 336: 237–242
 53. Gail K, Seifert E (1982) Cholecystectomy after endoscopic removal of common bile duct stones—a necessary procedure? [Abstract]. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 78: S142
 54. Grace PA, Qureshi A, Burke P, Leahy A, Brindley N, Osborne H, Lane B, Broe P, Bouchier Hayes D (1993) Selective cholangiography in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg* 80: 244–246
 55. Gunn A (1980) The use of tantalum clips during operative cholangiography. *Br J Surg* 67: 146
 56. Hammarström LE, Holmin T, Stridbeck H, Ihse I (1995) Long-term follow-up of a prospective randomized study of endoscopic versus surgical treatment of bile duct calculi in patients with gallbladder in situ. *Br J Surg* 82: 1516–1521
 57. Hammarström LE, Holmin T, Stridbeck H, Ihse I (1996) Routine preoperative infusion cholangiography at elective cholecystectomy: a prospective study in 694 patients. *Br J Surg* 83: 750–754
 58. Hammarström LE, Holmin T, Stridbeck H, Ihse I (1996) Routine preoperative infusion cholangiography versus intraoperative cholangiography at elective cholecystectomy: a prospective study in 995 patients. *J Am Coll Surg* 182: 408–416
 59. Hauer-Jensen M, Karesen R, Nygaard K, Solheim K, Amlie E, Havig Ø, Viddal O (1985) Predictive ability of choledocholithiasis indicators—a prospective evaluation. *Ann Surg* 202: 64–68
 60. Hauer-Jensen M, Karesen R, Nygaard K, Solheim K, Amlie E, Havig Ø, Viddal KO (1986) Consequences of routine preoperative cholangiography during cholecystectomy for gallstone disease—a prospective, randomized study. *World J Surg* 10: 996–1002
 61. Hauer-Jensen M, Karesen R, Nygaard K, Solheim K, Amlie EJB, Havig Ø, Rosseland AR (1993) Prospective randomized study of routine intraoperative cholangiography during open cholecystectomy—long-term follow-up and multivariate analysis of predictors of choledocholithiasis. *Surgery* 113: 318–323
 62. Heinerman M, Boeckl O, Pimpl W (1989) Selective ERCP and preoperative stone removal in bile duct surgery. *Ann Surg* 209: 267–272
 63. Heinerman M, Pimpl W, Waclawiczek W, Boeckl O (1987) Combined endoscopic and surgical approach to primary gallstone disease. *Surg Endosc* 1: 195–198
 64. Holbrook RF, Jacobson FL, Pezzuti RT, Howell DA (1991) Biliary patency imaging after endoscopic retrograde sphincterotomy with gallbladder in situ. *Arch Surg* 126: 738–742
 65. Hollis R (1993) Predictors of common bile duct (CBD) abnormalities in patients undergoing ERCP prior to laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) [Abstract]. *Am J Gastroenterol* 88: 1531
 66. Hopton D (1978) Common bile duct perfusion combined with operative cholangiography. *Br J Surg* 65: 852–854
 67. Houdart R, Brisset D, Perniceni T, Palau R (1990) La cholangiographie intraveineuse est inutile avant cholecystectomie pour lithiase non compliquée—étude prospective de 100 cas. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 14: 652–654
 68. Huang SM, Wu CW, Chau GY, Jwo SC, Lui WY, P'eng FK (1996) An alternative approach of choledocholithotomy via laparoscopic choledochotomy. *Arch Surg* 131: 407–411
 69. Huddy SPJ, Southam JA (1989) Is intravenous cholangiography an alternative to the routine per-operative cholangiogram? *Postgrad Med J* 65: 896–899
 70. Huguier M, Bornet P, Charpak Y, Houry S, Chastang C (1991) Selective contraindications based on multivariate analysis for operative cholangiography in biliary lithiasis. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 172: 470–474
 71. Jakimowicz JJ, Carol EJ, Mak B, Roukema A (1986) An operative choledochoscopy using the flexible choledochoscope. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 162: 215–221
 72. Johnson GK, Geenen JE, Venu RP, Schmalz MJ, Hogan WJ (1993) Treatment of non-extractable common bile duct stones with combination ursodeoxycholic acid plus endoprosthesis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 39: 528–531
 73. Jones DB, Dunnegan DL, Soper NJ (1995) Results of a change to routine fluorocholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surgery* 118: 701–702
 74. Joyce WP, Keane R, Burke GJ, Daly M, Drumm J, Egan TJ, Delaney PV (1991) Identification of bile duct stones in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg* 78: 1174–1176
 75. Keighley MRB, Graham NG (1971) Infective complications of choledochotomy with T-tube drainage. *Brit J Surg* 58: 764–768
 76. Kitahama A, Kerstein MD, Overby JL, Kappelman MD, Webb WR (1986) Routine intraoperative cholangiogram. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 162: 317–322
 77. Lacaine F, Corlette MB, Bismuth H (1980) Preoperative evaluation of the risk of common bile duct stones. *Arch Surg* 115: 1114–1116
 78. Lai CW, Tam P-C, Paterson IA, Ng MMT, Fan S-T, Choi T-K, Wong J (1990) Emergency surgery for severe acute cholangitis—the high risk patients. *Ann Surg* 211: 55–59
 79. Lai ECS, Mok FPT, Tan ESY, Lo C-ML, Fan S-T, You K-T, Wong J (1992) Endoscopic biliary drainage for severe acute cholangitis. *N Engl J Med* 326: 1582–1586
 80. Leese T, Neoptolemus JP, Carr-Locke DL (1985) Successes, failures, early complications and their management following endoscopic sphincterotomy: results in 394 consecutive patients from a single centre. *Br J Surg* 72: 215–219
 81. Lewis RT, Allan CM, Goodall RG, Marien B, Park M, Lloyd-Smith W, Wiegand FM (1984) A single preoperative dose of cefazolin prevents postoperative sepsis in high-risk biliary surgery. *Can J Surg* 27: 44–47
 82. Lezoche E, Paganini AM, Carlei F, Feliciotti F, Lomanto D, Guerrieri M (1996) Laparoscopic treatment of gallbladder and common bile duct stones: a prospective study. *World J Surg* 20: 542
 83. Liberman MA, Phillips EH, Carroll BJ, Fallas MJ, Rosenthal R, Hiatt J (1996) Cost-effective management of complicated choledocholithiasis: laparoscopic transcystic duct exploration or endoscopic sphincterotomy. *J Am Coll Surg* 182: 488–494
 84. Lillemoe KD, Yeo CJ, Talamini MA, Wang BH, Pitt HA, Gadacz TR (1992) Selective cholangiography. Current role in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Ann Surg* 215: 674–676
 85. Linder S, von Rosen A, Wiechel KL (1993) Bile duct pressure, hormonal influence and recurrent bile duct stones. *Hepatogastroenterology* 40: 370–374
 86. Lindsell DRM (1990) Ultrasound imaging of pancreas and biliary tract. *Lancet* 335: 390–393
 87. Little JM (1987) A prospective evaluation of computerized estimates of risk in the management of obstructive jaundice. *Surgery* 102: 473–476
 88. Liu CL, Lai ECS, Lo CM, Chu KM, Fan ST, Wong J (1996) Combined laparoscopic and endoscopic approach in patients with cholelithiasis and choledocholithiasis. *Surgery* 119: 534–537
 89. Lomanto D, Pavone P, Laghi A, Panebianco V, Mazzocchi P, Fiocca F, Lezoche E, Passariello R, Speranza V (1997) Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in the diagnosis of biliopancreatic diseases. *Am J Surg* 174: 33–38
 90. Lorimer JW, Lauzon J, Fairfull-Smith RJ, Yelle J-D (1997) Management of choledocholithiasis in the time of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Surg* 174: 68–71
 91. Lygidakis NJ (1982) A prospective randomized study of recurrent choledocholithiasis. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 155: 679–684
 92. MacMathuna P, White P, Clarke E, Lennon J, Crowe J (1994) Endoscopic sphincteroplasty: a novel and safe alternative to papillotomy in the management of bile duct stones. *Gut* 35: 127–129
 93. Madden JL (1978) Primary common bile duct stones. *World J Surg* 2: 465–471
 94. Madhavan KK, MacIntyre IMC, Wilson RG, Saunders JH, Nixon SJ,

- Hamer-Hodges DW (1995) Role of intraoperative cholangiography in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg* 82: 249–252
95. Masci E, Toti G, Cosentino F, Mariani A, Guerini S, Meroni E, Missale G, Lomazzi A, Prada A, Comin U, Crosta C, Tittobello A (1997) Prospective studies on post ERCP/ES acute pancreatitis [Abstract]. *Gastrointest Endosc* 45: AB139
 96. Mazariello RM (1978) A fourteen-year experience with nonoperative instrument extraction of retained bile duct stones. *World J Surg* 2: 447–455
 97. McEvedy BV (1970) Routine operative cholangiography. *Br J Surg* 57: 277–279
 98. Metcalf AM, Ephgrave KS, Dean TR, Maher JW (1992) Preoperative screening with ultrasonography for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: an alternative to routine intraoperative cholangiography. *Surgery* 112: 813–817
 99. Meyers WC (1991) A prospective analysis of 1518 laparoscopic cholecystectomies—the Southern Surgeons Club. *N Engl J Med* 324: 1073–1078
 100. Michotey G, Signouret B, Argeme M, Ages M (1981) Les complications du drain de Kehr—a propos de quatre observations. *Ann Chir* 35: 351–355
 101. Millat B, Atger J, Deleuze A, Briandet H, Fingerhut A, Guillon F, Marrel E, de Seguin C, Soulier P (1997) Laparoscopic treatment for choledocholithiasis: a prospective evaluation in 247 consecutive unselected patients. *Hepatogastroenterology* 44: 28–34
 102. Millat B, Fingerhut A, Deleuze A, Briandet H, Marrel E, de Seguin C, Soulier P (1995) Prospective evaluation in 121 consecutive unselected patients undergoing laparoscopic treatment of choledocholithiasis. *Br J Surg* 82: 1266–1269
 103. Minami A, Nakatsu T, Uchida N, Hirabayashi S, Fukuma H, Morshed SA, Nishioka M (1995) Papillary dilation vs sphincterotomy in endoscopic removal of bile duct stones. A randomized trial with manometric function. *Dig Dis Sci* 40: 2550–2554
 104. Mofit AB, Ahmed I, Tandon RC, Al-Tameen MM, Al-Khudairy NN (1986) Routine or selective peroperative cholangiography. *Br J Surg* 73: 548–550
 105. Mosteller F (1985) Assessing medical technologies. National Academic Press, Washington, DC
 106. Murison MS, Gartell PC, McGinn FP (1993) Does selective peroperative cholangiography result in missed common bile duct stones? *J R Coll Surg Edinb* 38: 220–224
 107. Neoptolemus JP, Carr-Locke DL, Fossard DP (1987) Prospective randomised study of preoperative endoscopic sphincterotomy versus surgery alone for common bile duct stones. *Br Med J* 294: 470–474
 108. Neoptolemus JP, Carr-Locke DL, London NJ, Bailey IA, James D, Fossard DP (1988) Controlled trial of urgent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic sphincterotomy versus conservative treatment for acute pancreatitis due to gallstones. *Lancet* 2: 979–983
 109. Neoptolemus JP, Davidson BR, Shaw DE, Lloyd D, Carr-Locke DL, Fossard DP (1987) Study of common bile duct exploration and endoscopic sphincterotomy in a consecutive series of 438 patients. *Br J Surg* 74: 916–921
 110. Neoptolemus JP, Shaw DE, Carr-Locke DL (1989) A multivariate analysis of preoperative risk factors in patients with common bile duct stones. *Ann Surg* 209: 157–161
 111. Neugebauer E, Troidl H, Kum CK, Eypasch E, Miserez M, Paul A (1995) The E.A.E.S. Consensus Development Conferences on laparoscopic cholecystectomy, appendectomy, and hernia repair. Consensus statements—September 1994. The Educational Committee of the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery. *Surg Endosc* 9: 550–563
 112. Neuhaus H, Feussner H, Ungeheuer A, Hoffmann W, Siewert JR, Classen M (1992) Prospective evaluation of the use of endoscopic retrograde cholangiography prior to laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Endoscopy* 24: 745–749
 113. Neuhaus H, Ungeheuer A, Feussner H, Classen M, Siewert JR (1992) Laparoskopische Cholezystektomie: ERCP als präoperative Standarddiagnostik? *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 117: 1863–1867
 114. Nilson U (1987) Adverse reactions to iotroxate at intravenous cholangiography. *Acta Radiol* 28: 571–575
 115. Nora PJ, Berci G, Dorazio RA, Kirshenbaum G, Shore JM, Tompkins RK, Wilson SD (1977) Operative choledochoscopy—results of a prospective study in several institutions. *Am J Surg* 133: 105–110
 116. Nowak A, Nowakowska-Dulawa E, Marek TA, Rybicka J (1996) Résultat d'une étude prospective contrôlée et randomisée comparant le traitement endoscopique par rapport au traitement conventionnel en cas de pancréatite aiguë biliaire [Abstract]. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 20: A2
 117. Osnes M, Larsen S, Lowe P, Grønseth K, Løvteit T, Nordshus T (1978) Comparison of endoscopic retrograde and intravenous cholangiography in diagnosis of biliary calculi. *Lancet* 2: 230
 118. Panis Y, Fagniez P-L, Brisset D, Lacaine F, Levard H, Hay J-M (1993) Long-term results of choledochoduodenostomy versus choledochojunostomy for choledocholithiasis. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 177: 33–37
 119. Pencev D, Brady PG, Pinkas H, Boulay J (1994) The role of ERCP in patients after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 89: 1523–1527
 120. Périssat J (1996) Laparoscopic treatment of bile duct stones. In: Brune IB (ed) *Laparo-endoscopic surgery*, 2d ed. Blackwell-Science, London pp 57–63
 121. Périssat J, Huijbregtse K, Keane FB, Russell RC, Neoptolemos JP (1994) Management of bile duct stones in the era of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg* 81: 799–810
 122. Petelin JB (1993) Laparoscopic approach to common duct pathology. *Am J Surg* 165: 487–491
 123. Phillips EH, Carroll BJ, Pearlstein AR, Daykhovsky L, Fallas MJ (1993) Laparoscopic choledochoscopy and extraction of common bile duct stones. *World J Surg* 17: 22–28
 124. Phillips EH, Liberman M, Carroll BJ, Fallas MJ, Rosenthal RJ, Hiatt JR (1995) Bile duct stones in the laparoscopic era. Is preoperative sphincterotomy necessary? *Arch Surg* 130: 885–886
 125. Planells Roig M, García Espinosa R, Moya Sanz A, Pastor P, Rodero D (1992) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and selective intraoperative cholangiography: a prospective series of 70 patients [Abstract]. *Br J Surg* 79: S11
 126. Podolsky I, Kortan P, Haber GB (1989) Endoscopic sphincterotomy in outpatients. *Gastrointest Endosc* 35: 372–376
 127. Ponchon T, Bory R, Chavaillon A, Fouillet P (1989) Biliary lithiasis—combined endoscopic and surgical treatment. *Endoscopy* 21: 15–18
 128. Ponchon T, Genin G, Mitchell R, Henry L, Bory RM, Bodnar D, Valette P-J (1996) Methods, indications, and results of percutaneous choledochoscopy—a series of 161 procedures. *Ann Surg* 223: 26–36
 129. Prasad JK, Daniel O (1971) A comparison of the value of measurement of flow and pressure as aids to bile-duct surgery [Abstract]. *Br J Surg* 58: 868
 130. Prat F, Malak NA, Pelletier G, Buffet C, Fritsch J, Choury AD, Altman C, Liguory C, Etienne JP (1996) Biliary symptoms and complications more than 8 years after endoscopic sphincterotomy for choledocholithiasis. *Gastroenterology* 110: 894–899
 131. Regan F, Fradin J, Khazan R, Bohlman M, Magnuson T (1996) Choledocholithiasis: evaluation with MR cholangiography. *Am J Roentgenol* 167: 1441–1445
 132. Rhodes M, Nathanson L, O'Rourke N, Fielding G (1995) Laparoscopic exploration of the common bile duct: lessons learned from 129 consecutive cases. *Br J Surg* 82: 666–668
 133. Rijna H, Borgstein PJ, Meuwissen SG, de Brauw LM, Wildenborg NP, Cuesta MA (1995) Selective preoperative endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in laparoscopic biliary surgery. *Br J Surg* 82: 1130–1133
 134. Röthlin MA, Schlumpf R, Largiader F (1994) Laparoscopic sonography. An alternative to routine intraoperative cholangiography? *Arch Surg* 129: 694–700
 135. Röthlin MA, Schob O, Schlumpf R, Largiader F (1996) Laparoscopic ultrasonography during cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg* 83: 1512–1516
 136. Salomon J, Roseman DL (1978) Intraoperative measurement of common bile duct resistance. *Arch Surg* 113: 650–653
 137. Santucci L, Natalini G, Sarpi L, Fiorucci S, Solinas A, Morelli A (1996) Selective endoscopic retrograde cholangiography and preoperative bile duct stone removal in patients scheduled for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a prospective study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 91: 1326–1330
 138. Sauerbruch T, Feussner H, Frimberger E, Hasegawa H, Ihse I, Riemann JF, Yasuda H (1994) Treatment of common bile duct stones. A consensus report. *Hepatogastroenterology* 41: 513–515
 139. Sauerbruch T, Holl J, Sackmann M, Paumgartner G (1992) Fragmentation of bile duct stones by extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy: a five-year experience. *Hepatology* 15: 208–214

140. Schwab G, Pointner R, Wetscher G, Glaser K, Foltin E, Bodner E (1992) Treatment of calculi of the common bile duct. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 175: 115–120
141. Seifert E, Gail K, Weismüller J (1982) Langzeitresultate nach endoskopischer Sphinkterotomie. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 107: 610–614
142. Shaffer EA, Braasch JW, Small DM (1972) Bile composition at and after surgery in normal persons and patients with gallstones. *N Engl J Med* 287: 1317–1322
143. Sheen Chen SM, Chou FF (1995) Intraoperative choledochoscopic electrohydraulic lithotripsy for difficulty retrieved impacted common bile duct stones. *Arch Surg* 130: 430–432
144. Sheridan WG, Williams HOL, Lewis MH (1987) Morbidity and mortality of common bile duct exploration. *Br J Surg* 74: 1095–1099
145. Siegel JH, Safrany L, Ben-Zvi JS, Pullano WE, Cooperman A, Stenzel M, Ramsey WH (1988) Duodenoscopic sphincterotomy in patients with gallbladders in situ: report of a series of 1272 patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 83: 1255–1258
146. Sigel B, Machi J, Beitler JC, Donahue PE, Bombeck T, Baker RJ, Duarte B (1983) Comparative accuracy of operative ultrasonography and cholangiography in detecting common duct calculi. *Surgery* 94: 715–720
147. Simmons F, Ross APJ, Bouchier IAD (1972) Alterations in hepatic bile composition after cholecystectomy. *Gastroenterology* 63: 466–471
148. Skar V, Skar AG, Osnes M (1989) The duodenal bacterial flora in the region of papilla of Vater in patients with and without duodenal diverticula. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 24: 649–656
149. Soper NJ, Dunnegan DL (1992) Routine versus selective intraoperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *World J Surg* 16: 1133–1140
150. Soto JA, Barish MA, Yucel EK, Siegenberg D, Ferrucci JT, Chuttani R (1996) Magnetic resonance cholangiography—comparison with endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Gastroenterology* 111: 589–597
151. Stain SC, Cohen H, Tshishoysha M, Donovan AJ (1991) Choledocholithiasis—endoscopic sphincterotomy or common bile duct exploration. *Arch Surg* 213: 627–634
152. Stark ME, Loughry CW (1980) Routine operative cholangiography with cholecystectomy. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 151: 657–658
153. Steele RJC, Park K, Gilbert F (1991) Prediction of common bile duct stones: the importance of ultrasonic duct visualisation [Abstract]. *Gut* 32: A1253–A1254
154. Stiegmann GV, Goff JS, Mansour A, Pearlman N, Reveille RM, Norton L (1992) Precholecystectomy endoscopic cholangiography and stone removal is not superior to cholecystectomy, cholangiography, and common duct exploration. *Am J Surg* 163: 227–230
155. Stiegmann GV, Pearlman N, Goff JS, Sun JH, Norton L (1989) Endoscopic cholangiography and stone removal prior to cholecystectomy—a more cost-effective approach than operative duct exploration. *Arch Surg* 124: 787–790
156. Stiegmann GV, Soper NJ, Filipi CJ, McIntyre RC, Callary MP, Cordova JF (1995) Laparoscopic ultrasonography as compared with static or dynamic cholangiography at laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 9: 1269–1273
157. Stoker ME (1995) Common bile duct exploration in the era of laparoscopic surgery. *Arch Surg* 130: 268–269
158. Swanstrom LL, Marcus DR, Kenyon T (1996) Laparoscopic treatment of known choledocholithiasis. *Surg Endosc* 10: 526–528
159. Tanaka M, Sada M, Eguchi T, Konomi H, Naritomi G, Takeda T, Ogawa Y, Chijiwa K, Deenitchin GP (1996) Comparison of routine and selective endoscopic retrograde cholangiography before laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *World J Surg* 20: 267–271
160. Targarona EM, Perez-Ayuso RM, Bordas JM, Ros E, Pros I, Martinez J, Teres J, Trias M (1996) Randomized trial of endoscopic sphincterotomy with gallbladder left in situ versus open surgery for common bile duct calculi in high-risk patients. *Lancet* 347: 926–929
161. Taylor TV, Armstrong CP, Rimmer S, Lucas SB, Jeacock J, Gunn AA (1988) Prediction of choledocholithiasis using a pocket micro-computer. *Br J Surg* 75: 138–140
162. Tham TCK, Collins JSA, Watson RGP, Ellis PK, McIllrath EM (1996) Diagnosis of common bile duct stones by intravenous cholangiography: prediction by ultrasound and liver function tests compared with endoscopic retrograde cholangiography. *Gastrointest Endosc* 44: 158–163
163. Thurston OG, McDougall RM (1976) The effect of hepatic bile on retained common duct stones. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 143: 625–627
164. Troidl H (1994) Endoscopic surgery—a fascinating idea requires responsibility in evaluation and handling. In: Szabo Z, Kerstein MD, Lewis JE (eds) *Surgical technology*, Universal Medical Press, San Francisco, pp 111–117
165. Van Dam J, Sivak MV (1993) Mechanical lithotripsy of large common bile duct stones. *Cleve Clin J Med* 60: 38–42
166. Welbourn CR, Haworth JM, Leaper DJ, Thompson MH (1995) Prospective evaluation of ultrasonography and liver function tests for preoperative assessment of the bile duct. *Br J Surg* 82: 1371–1373
167. Wenckert A, Robertson B (1966) The natural course of gallstone disease—eleven-year review of 781 nonoperated cases. *Gastroenterology* 50: 376–381
168. Wermke W, Schulz H-J (1987) Sonographische Diagnostik von Gallenwegskonkrementen. *Ultraschall* 8: 116–120
169. White TT, Waisman H, Hopton D, Kavlie H (1972) Radiomanometry, flow rates, and cholangiography in the evaluation of common bile duct disease. *Am J Surg* 123: 73–79
170. Widdison AL, Longstaff AJ, Armstrong CP (1994) Combined laparoscopic and endoscopic treatment of gallstones and bile duct stones: a prospective study. *Br J Surg* 81: 595–597
171. Wilson TG, Hall JC, Watts JM (1986) Is operative cholangiography always necessary? *Br J Surg* 73: 637–640
172. Wilson TG, Jeans PL, Anthony A, Cox MR, Toouli J (1993) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and management of choledocholithiasis. *Aust N Z J Surg* 63: 443–450
173. Wolloch Y, Feigenberg Z, Zer M, Dintsman M (1977) The influence of biliary infection on the postoperative course after biliary tract surgery. *Am J Gastroenterol* 67: 456–462
174. Worthley CS, Watts JM, Toouli J (1989) Common duct exploration or endoscopic sphincterotomy for choledocholithiasis? *Aust N Z J Surg* 59: 209–215
175. Zaninotto G, Costantini M, Rossi M, Anselmino M, Pianalto S, Oseladore D, Pizzato D, Norberto L, Ancona E (1996) Sequential intraluminal endoscopic and laparoscopic treatment for bile duct stones associated with gallstones. *Surg Endosc* 10: 644–648